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## 4．3 The Division Algorithm：Prime Numbers

Ex 4.26 ：$\because$ 乘法為＂連加＂，故考慮以＂連減＂來計算除法． See Fig 4．10，連減並用 Ex 4.25 （d）

Ex 4.27 ：利用上述 Algorithm 計算＂改進位制＂： Write 6137 in the octal system（base 8）
i．e．find $r_{0}, r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{k}$ with $r_{k}>0$ s．t．$\left(r_{k} \ldots r_{1} r_{0}\right)_{8}=6137$
Sol．$\because 6137=r_{0}+r_{1} \cdot 8+r_{2} \cdot 8^{2}+\ldots+r_{k} \cdot 8^{k}=r_{0}+8\left(r_{1}+8\left(r_{2}+\ldots+8\left(r_{k}\right) \ldots\right)\right)$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { and } 6137=1+8 \cdot 767 & \Rightarrow r_{0}=1 \\
=1+8[7+8(95)] & \Rightarrow r_{1}=7 \\
=1+8[7+8(7+8 \cdot 11)] & \Rightarrow r_{2}=7 \\
=1+8\{7+8[7+8(3+8 \cdot 1)]\} & \Rightarrow r_{3}=3 \\
& r_{4}=1
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { i.e. } 6137=1 \cdot 8^{4}+3 \cdot 8^{3}+7 \cdot 8^{2}+7 \cdot 8^{1}+1=(137 \%)_{8}
$$


（c）Fall 2023，Justie Su－Tzu Juan

### 4.3 The Division Algorithm: Prime Numbers

Ex 4.28 : (1/3)
(1) 2 位進: see book, Table 4.3
four bits: $0 \sim 15=0 \sim 2^{4}-1$
leading 1: $8 \sim 15=2^{3} \sim 2^{4}-1$
six bits: $\quad 0 \sim 63=0 \sim 2^{6}-1$
$n$ bits: $\quad 0 \sim 2^{n}-1$
\{ leading 0: $0 \sim 2^{n-1}-1$
leading 1: $2^{n-1} \sim 2^{n}-1$
(2) eight bits $=$ one bytes
one bytes: $0 \sim 2^{8}-1=0 \sim 255$
two bytes: $0 \sim 2^{16}-1=0 \sim 65535$
four bytes: $0 \sim 2^{32}-1=0 \sim 4294967295$
(c) Fall 2023, Justie Su-Tzu Juan

### 4.3 The Division Algorithm: Prime Numbers

Ex 4.28 : (2/3)
(base - 16)
(3) Table 4.4:

| Base 10 | Base2 | Base 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 1010 | A |
| 11 | 1011 | B |
| 12 | 1100 | C |
| 13 | 1101 | D |
| 14 | 1110 | E |
| 15 | 1111 | F |

Represent the integer 13874945 in the hexadecimal system: 1613874945 Remainders

| $16 \mid 867184$ |
| ---: |
| $16 \mid 54199$ |
| $16 \mid 3387$ |
| $16 \mid 211$ |
| $16 \mid 13$ |
|  |
|  |


| 1 | $\left(r_{0}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\left(r_{1}\right)$ |
| 7 | $\left(r_{2}\right)$ |
| $11=\mathrm{B}$ | $\left(r_{3}\right)$ |
| 3 | $\left(r_{4}\right)$ |
| $13=\mathrm{D}$ | $\left(r_{5}\right)$ |

$\therefore 13874945=(\mathrm{D} 3 \mathrm{~B} 701)_{16}$
(c) Fall 2023, Justie Su-Tzu Juan

### 4.3 The Division Algorithm: Prime Numbers

Ex 4.28 : (3/3)
(4) Converting between base 2 and base 16.
(i) Convert the binary integer 01001101 to its base-16 counterpart

01001101

$$
4 \quad \therefore \quad \therefore(01001101)_{2}=(4 \mathrm{D})_{16}
$$

(ii) Convert the two-byte number (A13F) ${ }_{16}$ in base 2

$$
\underbrace{\mathrm{A}}_{1010} \underbrace{1}_{(\mathrm{A} 13 \mathrm{~F})_{16}=(1010000100111111)_{2}} \underbrace{3}_{0011} \underbrace{\mathrm{~F}}_{1111}
$$

## 4．3 The Division Algorithm：Prime Numbers

## Ex 4.29 ：

負數如何表示：$n<0$ ：two＇s complement method．
（1）First consider the binary representation of $|n|$ ，
（2）Replace each 0 by 1 ， 1 by 0 ；the result is called the one＇s complement of $|n|$ ．
（3）Add 1 to（2）；the result is called the two＇s complement of $|n|$ ． ex：－6：（1） $6 \rightarrow 0110$
（2） $\mathbf{0 1 1 0} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{1 0 0 1}$
（3） $\mathbf{1 0 0 1}+\mathbf{0 0 0 1}=1010$

Note：（1）See Table 4.5 （p．225）： $7 \sim-8$ need four－bit patterns
（2）Other obtained：$-8 \leq n \leq-1 \leftrightarrow 7 \geq n^{c} \geq 0$
（3）nonnegative integer start with 0 ，negative integer start


### 4.3 The Division Algorithm: Prime Numbers

Ex 4.30 : (1/2)
(1) Perform 33 - 15 in base 2, using the two's complement of 8 bits.
Sol.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \because 33-15=33+(-15) \text {; } \\
& 33=(00100001)_{2} \\
& 15=(00001111)_{2} \\
& \rightarrow-15=(11110000+00000001)_{2}=(11110001)_{2} \\
& 33 \\
& 00100001 \\
& -15 \longrightarrow+11110001 \\
& \text { discarded } \xlongequal[\text { Answer }=(00010010)_{2}=18]{100010010}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.3 The Division Algorithm: Prime Numbers

Ex 4.30 : (2/2)
(2) 15-33=? 15+(-33) $15=(00001111)_{2}$ $33=(00100001)_{2}$ $\rightarrow-33=(11011110+00000001)_{2}=(11011111)_{2}$
15 00001111
$-33 \longrightarrow 11011111$ (1) Take the one's complement $111101110 \rightarrow(00010001)_{2}$ negative $\rightarrow(00010010)_{2}=18$
$\therefore$ Answer $=-18$
(2) Add 1
(3) [overflow error] ex: 117+88

$$
\begin{array}{r}
117 \\
+\quad 88 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

01110101
+01011000 Negative!! $\rightarrow \leftarrow$
11001101
(c) Fall 2023, Justie Su-Tzu Juan

### 4.3 The Division Algorithm: Prime Numbers

Remark : In general, let $x, y \in \mathrm{Z}^{+}$with $x>y, 2^{n-2} \leq x<2^{n-1}$ Then the binary rep. for $x$ is made up of $n-1$ bits $\rightarrow n$ bits The one's complement of $y=\left(2^{n}-1\right)-y=11 \ldots 1-y$
The two's complement of $y=\left(2^{n}-1\right)-y+1 n$ 個 1
$\therefore x-y=x+\left[\left(2^{n}-1\right)-y+1\right]-2^{n}$
$\rightarrow$ removal of the extra bit

### 4.3 The Division Algorithm: Prime Numbers

Ex 4.31: If $n \in Z^{+}$and $n$ is composite, then $\exists p$ : a prime s.t. $\boldsymbol{p} \mid \boldsymbol{n}$ and $\boldsymbol{p} \leq \sqrt{n}$.

Proof.
(1) $\because n$ is composite
$\therefore$ We can write $n=n_{1} n_{2}$, where $1<n_{1}<n, 1<n_{2}<n$.
If $\left(\boldsymbol{n}_{1}>\sqrt{n}\right)$ and $\left(\boldsymbol{n}_{2}>\sqrt{n}\right)$,
then $\boldsymbol{n}=\boldsymbol{n}_{1} \boldsymbol{n}_{2}>(\sqrt{n})(\sqrt{n})=\boldsymbol{n} \rightarrow \leftarrow$
$\therefore \boldsymbol{n}_{1} \leq \sqrt{n}$ or $\boldsymbol{n}_{2} \leq \sqrt{n}$, W.L.O.G. say $\boldsymbol{n}_{1} \leq \sqrt{n}$. (without loss of generality)
(2) If $n_{1}$ is a prime: the result follows. If $n_{1}$ is not a prime: by Lemma 4.1,
$\exists$ a prime $p<n_{1}$ s.t. $p \mid n_{1}$,
$\because p\left|n_{1} \wedge n_{1}\right| n$,
$\therefore p \mid n$ and $p \leq \sqrt{n}$.
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## § 4.4 The Greatest Common Divisor : The Euclidean Algorithm

Def4.2 : For $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}, \boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$is said to be a common divisor of $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $b \equiv c|a \wedge c| b$.

EX4.32 : The common divisors of 42 and $70=1,2,7,14$,

Def4.3 : Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, either $a \neq 0$ or $b \neq 0 . c \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$is called a greatest common divisor (G. C. D.) of $a$ and $b \equiv$
a) $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$,
b) $\forall$ common divisor $d$ of $a$ and $b, d \mid c$.

Question : (1) A G. C. D. always exist? If so, how to find?
(2) How many G. C. D. can a pair of integers have?

## §4.4 The Greatest Common Divisor : The Euclidean Algorithm

Thm4.6 : $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, \exists!c \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$is the greatest common divisor of $a, b$. (denoted by $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$.)
Proof.(1/2)
$\exists$ Let $S=\{a s+b t \mid s, t \in \mathbb{Z}, a s+b t>0\}$ 。
$\because S \neq \phi$,
$\therefore$ by the Well-Ordering Principle, $S$ has a least element $c$.
b) $\because c \in S, \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $c=a x+b y$.
$\forall d \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$, by Thm4.3(f), $d \mid a x+b y$, i.e. $d \mid c$.
a) If $c \nmid a$, then $\exists g, r \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $0<r<c$ s.t. $a=g c+r$.
$\therefore r=a-g c=a-g(a x+b y)$

$$
=(1-g x) a+(-g y) b
$$

$\therefore r \in S . \rightarrow \leftarrow(\because 0<r<c) . \quad \therefore c \mid a$.
In the same way, $c \mid b$.

## §4.4 The Greatest Common Divisor : The Euclidean Algorithm

Proof.(2/2)
!: If $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$both satisfy Def 4.3 (a), (b),
then $c_{1}, c_{2}$ both are common divisor of $a, b$.
by $(b), \because c_{1}$ as a greatest common divisor, $\therefore c_{2} \mid c_{1}$;
and, $\because c_{2}$ as a greatest common divisor, $\therefore c_{1} \mid c_{2}$.
$\Rightarrow$ By Thm4.3(b), $c_{1}=c_{2} \because c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$.
Note : $\forall a, b \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$:
(1) $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=\operatorname{gcd}(b, a)$.
(2) $\operatorname{gcd}(a, 0)=|a|$, if $a \neq 0$.
(3) $\operatorname{gcd}(-a, b)=\operatorname{gcd}(a,-b)=\operatorname{gcd}(-a,-b)=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$.
(4) $\operatorname{gcd}(0,0)$ is not defined.
(5) $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$ is the smallest positive integer we can write a linear combination of $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$.

## §4.4 The Greatest Common Divisor: The Euclidean Algorithm

Def : $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, a, b$ are called relatively prime when $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$. i.e. $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a x+b y=1$.

EX4.33: (1) $\operatorname{gcd}(42,70)=14$ :
$\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $42 x+70 y=14$,
$\Leftrightarrow \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $3 x+5 y=1$.
let $x_{0}=2, y_{0}=-1: 3(2)+5(-1)=1$.
but $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}: 3(2-5 k)+5(-1+3 k)=1$,
$\Leftrightarrow \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}: 42(2-5 k)+70(-1+3 k)=14$.
$\therefore$ the solution for $x, y$ are not unique!

## §4.4 The Greatest Common Divisor: The Euclidean Algorithm

EX4.33 : (2) In general, if $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=d$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \text { s.t. } a x+b y=d, \\
\Leftrightarrow & \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \text { s.t. }(a / d) x+(b / d) y=1, \\
\Leftrightarrow & \operatorname{gcd}(a / d, b / d)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

let $x_{0}, y_{0}$ be a solution, i.e. $(a / d) x_{0}+(b / d) y_{0}=1$. then $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}:(a / d)\left(x_{0}-(b / d) k\right)+(b / d)\left(y_{0}+(a / d) k\right)=1$, $\Leftrightarrow \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}: a\left(x_{0}-(b / d) k\right)+b\left(y_{0}+(a / d) k\right)=d$.
$\therefore \exists$ infinitely many solution for $a x+b y=d$.
Remark : (1) If $a \mid b$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=a$.
(2) If $b \mid a$, then $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=b$.
(3) Otherwise?

Solution: use Euclidean Algorithm.
(c) Fall 2023, Justie Su-Tzu Juan

## § 4.4 The Greatest Common Divisor : The Euclidean Algorithm

Thm 4.7 : Euclidean Algorithm :
If $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$, then apply the division algorithm :

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
a & =q_{1} b+r_{1}, & & 0<r_{1}<b . \\
b & =q_{2} r_{1}+r_{2}, & & 0<r_{2}<r_{1} . \\
r_{1} & =q_{3} r_{2}+r_{3}, & & 0<r_{3}<r_{2} . \\
& \vdots \\
r_{k-2} & =q_{k} r_{k-1}+r_{k}, & & 0<r_{k}<r_{k-1} \\
r_{k-1} & =q_{k+1} r_{k} . & & .
\end{array}
$$

Then $r_{k}$, the last nonzero remainder, $=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$.
Proof.(1/2)
(b) $\forall c \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$with $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$,
$\because a=q_{1} b+r_{1}, \therefore c \mid r_{1}$;
$\because b=q_{1} r_{1}+r_{2}, \therefore c \mid r_{2} ;$
$\because r_{k-2}=q_{k} r_{k-1}+r_{k}, \therefore c \mid r_{k}$.
(c) Fall 2023, Justie Su-Tzu Juan

## §4．4 The Greatest Common Divisor ：The Euclidean Algorithm

Proof．（2／2）

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { (a) } \because r_{k-1}=q_{k+1} r_{k}, & \therefore r_{k} \mid r_{k-1} \\
\because r_{k-2}=q_{k} r_{k-1}+r_{k}, & \therefore r_{k} \mid r_{k-2} \\
\vdots & \therefore r_{k} \mid r_{1} ; \\
\because r_{1}=q_{3} r_{2}+r_{3}, & \therefore r_{k} \mid b ; \\
\because b=q_{2} r_{1}+r_{2}, & \therefore r_{k} \mid a \\
\because a=q_{1} b+r_{1}, & \\
\text { i.e. (r } \mid a) \wedge\left(r_{k} \mid b\right) . & \operatorname{la}, \text { (b), hence } r_{k}=\operatorname{gcd}(a, b) .
\end{array}
$$

Note ：（1）Algorithm ：precise instruction，not just for one special case，input，output，same result，unambiguous manner，cannot go on indefinitely（finite instruction）．
（2）Thm 4.5 ：基於傳統才稱之為 algorithm，$\because$ 其不具有＂precise instructions＂．$\therefore$ 以 EX 4.36 中 Fig 4.9 之procedure補足此缺點．

## §4.4 The Greatest Common Divisor : The Euclidean Algorithm

EX 4.34 : (1) Find the greatest common divisor of 250 and 111. (2) Express the result as a linear combination of 250 and 111. Sol.
(1) $250=2(111)+28, \quad 0<28<111$

$$
\begin{aligned}
111 & =3(28)+27, & & 0<27<28 \\
28 & =1(27)+1, & & 0<1<27
\end{aligned}
$$

$27=27$ (1). $\quad($ the last nonzero remainder is 1$)$
$\therefore 1=\operatorname{gcd}(250,111)$. i.e. 250,111 are relatively prime.

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2) & =28-1(27)=28-1[111-3(28)] \\
& =(-1) 111+4(28)=(-1) 111+4[250-2(111)] \\
& =4(250)-9(111)=250(4)+111(-9), \\
\Rightarrow 1 & =250(4-111 k)+111(-9+250 k), \forall k \in \mathrm{Z} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { note: } \operatorname{gcd}(-250,111)=\operatorname{gcd}(250,-111)=\operatorname{gcd}(-250,-111)
$$

$$
=\operatorname{gcd}(250,111)=1 .
$$

## §4.4 The Greatest Common Divisor : The Euclidean Algorithm

EX 4.35 : $\forall n \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$, prove $8 n+3$ and $5 n+2$ are relatively prime. Proof.
(1) when $n=1, \operatorname{gcd}(8 n+3,5 n+2)=\operatorname{gcd}(11,7)=1$.
when $n \geq 2, \because 8 n+3>5 n+2$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
8 n+3=1(5 n+2)+(3 n+1), & \\
5 n+2<3 n+1<5 n+2 \\
5 n+2=1(3 n+1)+(2 n+1), & \\
3 n+1=1(2 n+1)+n, & 0<n<2 n+1<3 n+1 \\
2 n+1=2(n)+1, & 0<1<n
\end{array}
$$

$$
n=n(1) . \quad(\text { the last nonzero remainder is } 1)
$$

$\therefore \operatorname{gcd}(8 n+3,5 n+2)=1, \forall n \geq 1$.
(2) 另解: $\because(8 n+3)(-5)+(5 n+2) 8=-15+16=1$,
$\therefore 1$ is expressed as a linear combination of $8 n+3,5 n+2$. and no smaller positive integer can have this property,
$\therefore$ the G. C. D. of $8 n+3$ and $5 n+2$ is $1, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.
(c) Fall 2023, Justie Su-Tzu Juan

## §4.4 The Greatest Common Divisor : The Euclidean Algorithm

EX 4.36 : Def : $\forall x, y \in Z^{+}, x \bmod y=$ the remainder after $x$ is divided by $y . \quad$ ex $: 7 \bmod 3=1 ; 18 \bmod 5=3$. ex : $a=168, \quad b=456$ :
procedure gcd ( $a, b$ : positive integers)
begin
$r:=a \bmod b$
$d:=b$
while $r>0$ do
begin
$c:=d$
$d:=r$
$r:=c \bmod d$
end
end $\{\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$ is $d$, the last nonzero remainder $\}$

Figure 4.9
$r_{0}=168$ and
$d_{0}=456$.
$\because r>0$
$\therefore c_{1}=456, d_{1}=168$,
$r_{1}=456 \bmod 168=120>0 ;$
$c_{2}=168, d_{2}=120$,
$r_{2}=168 \bmod 120=48>0 ;$
$c_{3}=120, d_{3}=48$,
$r_{3}=120 \bmod 48=24>0 ;$
$c_{4}=48, d_{4}=24$,
$r_{4}=48 \bmod 24=0$.
STOP.
(c) Fall 2023, Justie Su-Tzu Juan $\therefore \operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=24\left(=d_{4}\right) .{ }^{21}$

## §4．4 The Greatest Common Divisor ：The Euclidean Algorithm

EX 4.37 ： 2 containers ： 17 ounces and 55 ounces．How to use this two containers to measure exactly one ounce？

$$
\text { (一盖司= } 0.283494 \mathrm{~kg} 17 \rightarrow 4.8 \mathrm{~kg} \quad 55 \rightarrow 15.6 \mathrm{~kg} \text { ) }
$$

Sol．

$$
\begin{aligned}
55 & =3(17)+4, \quad 0<4<17 \\
17 & =4(4)+1, \quad 0<1<4 \\
\Rightarrow 1 & =17-4(4)=17-4[55-3(17)] \\
& =13(17)-4(55) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore$ 小的装 13 次，逐次倒至大的；清掉大的4次，最後會只剩 1 ounce．

## §4.4 The Greatest Common Divisor : The Euclidean Algorithm

EX 4.38 : Debug a Pascal program in 6 minutes. Debug a C++ program in 10 minutes. Work 104 minutes and doesn't waste any time. How many programs can he debug in each language? Sol.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Let } x, y \in N, 6 x+10 y=104 \Leftrightarrow 3 x+5 y=52 \\
& \because \operatorname{gcd}(\mathbf{3}, 5)=1, \text { and } 3(2)+5(-1)=1 \\
& \therefore 3(104)+5(-52)=52 \\
& \Rightarrow 3(104-5 k)+5(-52+3 k)=52, \forall k \in Z \\
& \quad x=104-5 k \geq 0 \text { and } y=-52+3 k \geq 0 \\
& \Rightarrow 17+1 / 3=52 / 3 \leq k \leq 104 / 5=20+4 / 5 \\
& \therefore \exists 3 \text { possible solution: } \\
& \text { a) }(k=18: x=14, y=2 . \\
& \text { b) }(k=19): x=9, y=5 . \\
& \text { c) }(k=20): x=4, y=8 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## §4.4 The Greatest Common Divisor : The Euclidean Algorithm

Thm 4.8 : If $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$, the Diophantine equation $a x+b y=c$ has an integer solution $x=x_{0}, y=y_{0} \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{gcd}(a, b) \mid c$.

Def 4.4: $\forall a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$,
(1) $c$ is called a common multiple of $a, b \equiv a \mid c$ and $b \mid c$.
(2) $c$ is the least common multiple of $a, b \operatorname{lcm}(a, b) \equiv$ the smallest of all common multiple of $a, b$.

EX 4.39 : a) $12=3 \cdot 4, \therefore \operatorname{lcm}(3,4)=12=\operatorname{lcm}(4,3)$. $90=6 \cdot 15$, but $\operatorname{lcm}(6,15) \neq 90, \operatorname{lcm}(6,15)=30$.
b) $\forall n \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}, \operatorname{lcm}(1, n)=\operatorname{lcm}(n, 1)=n$.
c) $\forall a, n \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}, \operatorname{lcm}(a, n a)=n a$.
d) $\forall a, m, n \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$, with $m \leq n, \operatorname{lcm}\left(a^{m}, a^{n}\right)=a^{n}$, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a^{m}, a^{n}\right)=a^{m}$.
(c) Fall 2023, Justie Su-Tzu Juan

## §4.4 The Greatest Common Divisor : The Euclidean Algorithm

Thm 4.9 : Let $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$, with $c=\operatorname{lcm}(a, b)$. If $d$ is a common multiple of $a$ and $b$, then $c \mid d$.
Proof.
If not, then by division algorithm, $d=q c+r$, where $0<r<c$.
$\because c=\operatorname{lcm}(a, b), \therefore \exists m \in Z^{+}$s.t. $c=m a$,
$\because d$ is a common multiple of $a$ and $b, \therefore \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$s.t. $d=n a$.
$\Rightarrow n a=d=q c+r=q m a+r$
$\Rightarrow(n-q m) a=r>0$
$\therefore a \mid r$.
In a similar way, $b \mid r$.
$\therefore(a \mid r$ and $b \mid r) \Rightarrow r$ is $a$ common multiple of $a$ and $b$. but $0<r<c \rightarrow \leftarrow(\because c$ is the least common multiple of $a, b)$ Hence $c \mid d$.

## §4.4 The Greatest Common Divisor: The Euclidean Algorithm

Thm 4.10 : $\forall a, b \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}, a b=\operatorname{lcm}(a, b) \cdot \operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$
Proof. (reader)
EX 4.40 : a) $\forall a, b \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$, of $a, b$ are relatively prime, then $\operatorname{lcm}(a, b)=a b$.
b) $\because \operatorname{gcd}(168,456)=24($ by EX 4.36)
$\therefore \operatorname{lcm}(168,456)=(168)(456) / 24=3192$.
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### 4.5 The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Lemma 4.2 : If $a, b \in \mathrm{Z}^{+}$and $p$ is a prime, $p|a b \Rightarrow p| a$ or $p \mid b$. Proof.

If $p \mid a$, then we are finished.
If $p \nmid a: ~ \because p$ is prime,

$$
\therefore \operatorname{gcd}(p, a)=1 \text {. i.e. } \exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \text { s.t. } p x+a y=1 .
$$

Then for $p(b x)+(a b) y=b$ :

$$
\because p|p \wedge p| a b
$$

$$
\therefore p|p(b x) \wedge p|(a b) y . \quad(\text { by Thm } 4.3(\mathrm{~d}))
$$

$$
\because[p(b x)+(a b) y=b] \wedge p|p(b x) \wedge p|(a b) y,
$$

$$
\therefore p \mid b . \quad(\mathrm{by} \text { Thm 4.3(e)) }
$$

Lemma 4.3 : Let $a_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}, \forall i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.
$\left[(p\right.$ is prime $\left.) \wedge\left(p \mid a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}\right)\right] \Rightarrow \exists i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}, p \mid a_{i}$.
Proof. (reader)

### 4.5 The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

EX 4.38 : Show that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational. (Aristotle ( $384-322$ B. C.))
Proof.
Suppose $\sqrt{2}$ is not irrational. say $\sqrt{2}=\frac{G}{Z}$,
$\because \sqrt{2} \quad a^{2}$ where $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}, \operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$.
$\because \sqrt{2}=\frac{c}{z}, \therefore 2=\frac{b^{2}}{b^{2}} \Rightarrow \mathbf{2} b^{2}=a^{2} \Rightarrow \mathbf{2}\left|a^{2} \Rightarrow \mathbf{2}\right| a$ (by Lemma 4.2)
Let $a=\boldsymbol{z} c$ for some $c \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$.
$\because 2 b^{2}=a^{2}, \therefore 2 b^{2}=4 c^{2} \Rightarrow 2 c^{2}=b^{2} \Rightarrow 2\left|b^{2} \Rightarrow 2\right| b$ (by Lemma 4.2)
$\therefore 2|a \wedge 2| b \Rightarrow 2 \mid \operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$, i.e. $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b) \geq 2 \rightarrow \leftarrow$
$\therefore \sqrt{2}$ is irrational.
Note: $\sqrt{p}$ is irrational for every prime $\boldsymbol{p}$ (exercise)
Thm 4.11 : The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic
$\forall n>1, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, n$ can be written as a product of primes uniquely, up to the order of the primes.
(c) Fall 2023, Justie Su-Tzu Juan
$\forall n>1, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, n$ can be written as a product of primes uniquely, 4.5 The up to the order of the primes.

## Proof. (1/3)

$\exists$ : If not exist such product :
Let $m>1$ be the smallest integer
not expressible as a product of primes.
$\because m$ is not a prime, (o.w. prime is a product of one factor $\rightarrow \leftarrow$ )
$\therefore$ Let $m=m_{1} m_{2}$, where $1<m_{1} \leq m_{2}<m$.
$\because m_{1}<m, m_{2}<m$,
$\therefore m_{1}, m_{2}$ can be written as product of primes.
$\because m=m_{1} m_{2}$
$\therefore$ we can obtain a prime factorization of $m . \rightarrow \leftarrow$
$\forall n>1, n \in \mathbb{Z}+n$ can be written as a product of primes uniquely, 4.5 The up to the order of the primes.

## Proof. (2/3)

!: Prove by induction on $\boldsymbol{n}$ :
Let $S(n): n$ have a unique prime factorization $n=2: S(2)$ is true.
Suppose $n=2,3,4, \ldots, h-1, S(n)$ is true.
Now, consider $n=h$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Suppose } h=p_{1}{ }^{s(1)} p_{2}{ }^{s(2)} \ldots p_{k}^{s(k)}=q_{1}^{t(1)} q_{2}{ }^{t(2)} \ldots q_{r}^{t(r)} . \\
& \text { Where } p_{i}, q_{j} \text { are primes, } \forall 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq r . \\
& \text { and } p_{1}<p_{2}<\ldots<p_{k} \text { and } q_{1}<q_{2}<\ldots<q_{r^{\circ}} \\
& \text { and } s(i) \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, t(j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, \forall 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq r .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.5 The up to the order of the primes.

## Proof. (3/3)

$\because p_{1}\left|h, \therefore p_{1}\right| q_{1}{ }^{t(1)} q_{2}{ }^{t(2)} \ldots q_{r}{ }^{t(r)}$.
By Lemma 4.3, $\exists 1 \leq j \leq r, p_{1} \mid q_{j}$.
$\because p_{1}, q_{j}$ are primes. $\quad \therefore p_{1}=q_{j}$
In the same way, $\because q_{1} \mid h \Rightarrow \exists 1 \leq e \leq k, q_{1}=p_{e}$
$\Rightarrow p_{1} \leq p_{e}=q_{1} \leq q_{j}=p_{1}, \therefore e=j=1$, i.e. $p_{1}=q_{1}$.
Let $n_{1}=h / p_{1}=p_{1}{ }^{s(1)-1} p_{2}^{s(2)} \ldots p_{k}^{s(k)}=q_{1}^{t(1)-1} q_{2}^{t(2)} \ldots q_{r}^{t(r)}$.
$\because n_{1}<h, \therefore$ by I. H.:
$k=r, p_{i}=q_{i} \forall 1 \leq i \leq k$,
$s(1)-1=t(1)-1$, and $s(i)=t(i) \forall 2 \leq i \leq k=r$.
$\because s(1)-1=t(1)-1 \Rightarrow s(1)=t(1)$.
$\Rightarrow$ The prime factorization of $h$ is unique.

### 4.5 The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

EX 4.39 : Find the prime factorization of 980220. Sol.

$$
\begin{array}{r|rl}
2 \mid 980220 & =2^{1}(490110) \\
2 \mid 490110 & =2^{2}(\mathbf{2 4 5 0 5 5 )} \\
3 \mid 245055 & =2^{2} \cdot 3^{1}(\mathbf{8 1 6 8 5}) \\
5 \mid 81685 & =2^{2} \cdot 3^{1} \cdot 5^{1}(\mathbf{1 6 3 3 7 )} \\
17 \mid 16337 & =2^{2} \cdot 3^{1} \cdot 5^{1} \cdot \mathbf{1 7}^{1}(961) \\
31 \underline{961} & =2^{2} \cdot 3^{1} \cdot 5^{1} \cdot \mathbf{1 7}^{1} \cdot \mathbf{3 1}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

### 4.5 The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

EX 4.40 : Suppose $n \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$and

$$
10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot n=21 \cdot 20 \cdot 19 \cdot 18 \cdot 17 \cdot 16 \cdot 15 \cdot 14,
$$ $17 \mid n$ or not?

Sol.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \because 17 \mid(21 \cdot 20 \cdot 19 \cdot 18 \cdot 17 \cdot 16 \cdot 15 \cdot 14), \\
& \therefore 17 \mid(10 \cdot 9 \cdot 8 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot n) . \\
& \text { But } 17 \nmid 10,17 \nmid 9,17 \nmid 8,17 \nmid 7,17 ł 6,17 ł 5, \\
& 17 \nmid 4,17 \nmid 3,17 \nmid 2,
\end{aligned}
$$

$\therefore$ By Lemma 4.3, $17 \mid n$.

### 4.5 The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

EX 4.41: For $n \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$, Find the number of positive divisors of $\boldsymbol{n}$.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\underline{\text { ex }}: 2: 1,2 \sim 2 \\
3: 1,3 \sim 2 \\
4: 1,2,4 \sim 3
\end{array}
$$

Sol.
$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, by Thm4.11, let $n=p_{1}{ }^{e(1)} p_{2}{ }^{e(2)} \ldots p_{k}{ }^{e(k)}$, where $p_{i}$ is prime $\forall 1 \leq i \leq k, e(i)>0 \forall 1 \leq i \leq k$.
If $m \mid n$, then $m=p_{1}{ }^{f(1)} p_{2}{ }^{f(2)} \ldots p_{k}{ }^{f(k)}$ where $0 \leq f(i) \leq e(i) . \forall 1 \leq i \leq k$.
$\therefore$ the number of positive divisors of $\boldsymbol{n}$ is

$$
(e(1)+1)(e(2)+1) \ldots(e(k)+1)
$$

## 4．5 The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

ex：（1） $29338848000=2^{8} 3^{5} 5^{3} 7^{3} 11$ ：
有 $(8+1)(5+1)(3+1)(3+1)(1+1)=9 \cdot 6 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \cdot 2$
$=1728$ 個 positive divisors．
（2）其中有多少個為 $360=2^{3} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5$ 的倍數：
it must satisfy ： $2^{t(1)} 3^{t(2)} 5^{t(3)} 7^{t(4)} 11^{t(5)}$ where
$3 \leq t(1) \leq 8,2 \leq t(2) \leq 5,1 \leq t(3) \leq 3,0 \leq t(4) \leq 3,0 \leq t(5) \leq 1$
$\Rightarrow[(8-3)+1][(5-2)+1][(3-1)+1][(3-0)+1][(1-0)+1]$ $=6 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 2=576$ ．
（3）其中有多少個為 perfect square：
it mast satisfy： $2^{s(1)} 3^{s(2)} 5^{s(3)} 7^{s(4)} 11^{s(5)}$ where
$s(1)=0,2,4,6,8 ; s(2)=0,2,4 ; s(3)=0,2 ; s(4)=0,2 ; s(5)=0$ ．
i．e．$\left(2^{2}\right)^{r(1)}\left(3^{2}\right)^{r(2)}\left(5^{2}\right)^{r(3)}\left(7^{2}\right)^{r(4)}$ where
$0 \leq r(1) \leq 4,0 \leq r(2) \leq 2,0 \leq r(3) \leq 1,0 \leq r(4) \leq 1$,
$\Rightarrow 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 1=60$ ．
（c）Fall 2023，Justie Su－Tzu Juan

### 4.5 The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

## Def $:\left(\prod_{i=m}^{n}\right)=\prod_{i=m}^{n} x_{i}=x_{m} \cdot x_{m+1} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_{n}$ where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. $n-m+1$ terms.

$i$ : index, $m$ : lower limit, $n:$ upper limit.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ex : (1) } \prod_{i=3}^{7} x_{i}=x_{3} \cdot x_{4} \cdot x_{5} \cdot x_{6} \cdot x_{7}=\Pi_{j=3}^{7} x_{j} \\
& \text { (2) } \Pi_{i=3}^{6} i=3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6=6!/ 2! \\
& \text { (3) } \Pi_{i=m}^{n} i=m(m+1)(m+2) \ldots(n-1) n=\frac{n!}{(m-1)!} \\
& \forall m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \text {with } m \leq n .
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) $\prod_{i=7}^{11} x_{i}=x_{7} \cdot x_{8} \cdot x_{9} \cdot x_{10} \cdot x_{11}$

$$
=\Pi_{j=0}^{4} x_{7+j}=\prod_{j=0}^{4} x_{11-j}
$$

### 4.5 The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

EX 4.42 : $m, n \in \mathrm{Z}^{+}$, let $m=p_{1}{ }^{e(1)} p_{2}{ }^{e(2)} \ldots p_{t}{ }^{e(t)}, n=p_{1}{ }^{f(1)} p_{2}{ }^{f(2)} \ldots$ $p_{t}{ }^{f(t)}$, where $p_{i}$ is prime, $e(i) \geq 0, f(i) \geq 0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq t$. Let $a_{i}=a(i)=\min \{e(i), f(i)\} \equiv$ the smaller of $e(i)$ and $f(i), \forall 1 \leq i \leq t$ $b_{i}=b(i)=\max \{e(i), f(i)\} \equiv$ the larger of $e(i)$ and $f(i), \forall 1 \leq i \leq t$ then (1) $\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=\Pi_{i=1}^{t} p_{i}^{a(i)}$, (2) $\operatorname{lcm}(m, n)=\prod_{i=1}^{t} p_{i}^{b(i)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underline{\text { ex }:}: & m=491891400=2^{3} \cdot 3^{3} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 11^{1} \cdot 13^{2} \\
n & =1138845708=2^{2} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 7^{1} \cdot 11^{2} \cdot 13^{3} \cdot 17^{1} \\
& \rightarrow p_{1}=2, p_{2}=3, p_{3}=5, p_{4}=7, p_{5}=11, p_{6}=13, p_{7}=17 . \\
& \rightarrow a_{1}=2, a_{2}=2, a_{3}=0, a_{4}=1, a_{5}=1, a_{6}=2, a_{7}=0 \\
\therefore & \operatorname{gcd}(m, n)=2^{2} \cdot 3^{2} \cdot 5^{0} \cdot 7^{1} \cdot 11^{1} \cdot 13^{2} \cdot 17^{0}=468468 . \\
\quad & \rightarrow b_{1}=3, b_{2}=3, b_{3}=2, b_{4}=2, b_{5}=2, b_{6}=3, b_{7}=1 \\
\therefore & \operatorname{lcm}(m, n)=2^{3} 3^{3} \cdot 5^{2} \cdot 7^{2} \cdot 11^{2} \cdot 13^{3} \cdot 17^{1} \\
& =1195787993400 .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 4.5 The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Note : Any two consecutive integers are relatively prime. (HW 19. § 4.4)

EX 4.39 : Can we find three consecutive positive integers whose product is a perfect square?
(i.e. $\exists m, n \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$. s.t. $m(m+1)(m+2)=n^{2}$ ?)

Sol. (1/2)
Suppose $\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, s.t. $m(m+1)(m+2)=n^{2}$.

1. $\because \operatorname{gcd}(m, m+1)=1=\operatorname{gcd}(m+1, m+2)$,
$\therefore \forall$ prime $p_{i}, p_{i} \mid(m+1) \Rightarrow p_{i} \nmid m$ and $p_{i} \nmid(m+2)$.
$\because m(m+1)(m+2)=n^{2}, \therefore p_{i}\left|(m+1) \Rightarrow p_{i}\right| n^{2}$.
$\because n^{2}$ is a perfect square,
$\therefore$ the exponents $t_{i}$ of $p_{i}$ in the prime factorizations of $n^{2}$ must be even.

### 4.5 The Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

EX 4.39 : Can we find three consecutive positive integers whose product is a perfect square?
(i.e. $\exists m, n \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}$. s.t. $m(m+1)(m+2)=n^{2}$ ?)

Sol. (2/2)
$\therefore$ the exponents $t_{i}$ of $p_{i}$ in the prime factorizations of $\boldsymbol{n}^{2}$ must be even.
$\therefore m+1$ is a perfect square.
2. $\because n^{2}=m(m+1)(m+2)$ and $n^{2}, m+1$ are perfect square, $\Rightarrow m(m+2)$ is a perfect square.
but $m^{2}<m^{2}+2 m=m(m+2)<m^{2}+2 m+1=(m+1)^{2}$
$\therefore m(m+2)$ cannot be a perfect square. $\rightarrow \leftarrow$
$\therefore$ There are no three consecutive positive integer whose product is a perfect square.

